

- A1
Amdd.
- 1 c. forming an array output signal based on one or more modified output signals
 - 2 and zero or more unmodified microphone output signals.

Unchanged claim 2:

- 1 2. The method of claim 1 wherein steps a, b, and c, are performed a
- 2 plurality of times to obtain an adaptive array response.

[Amend claim 3:]

- 1 3. (Amended) The method of claim 1 wherein a region of space other
- 2 than the predetermined region of space includes sources of undesired acoustic
- 3 energy.

A2
[Amend claim 4:]

- 1 4. (Amended) The method of claim 1 wherein undesired acoustic
- 2 energy impinges on the array from a direction within a region of space other than the
- 3 predetermined region of space.

Unchanged claim 5:

- 1 5. The method of claim 1 wherein the array has a plurality of directivity
- 2 patterns corresponding to a plurality of frequency subbands, one or more of the
- 3 plurality of directivity patterns including a null.

Unchanged claim 6:

- 1 6. The method of claim 5 further comprising the step of forming a
- 2 plurality of subband microphone output signals based on an output signal of a
- 3 microphone of the array, wherein the step of modifying output signals comprises
- 4 modifying the subband microphone output signals based on the one or more
- 5 evaluated parameters.

Unchanged claim 7:

- 1 7. The method of claim 1 wherein the array comprises a plurality of
- 2 cardioid sensors.

Unchanged claim 8:

- 1 8. The method of claim 7 wherein the plurality of cardioid sensors
2 comprises a foreground cardioid sensor and a background cardioid sensor and
3 wherein the step of evaluating comprises determining a parameter reflecting a ratio
4 of (i) a product of output signals of the foreground and background cardioid sensors
5 to (ii) the square of the output signal of the background cardioid sensor.

Unchanged claim 9:

- 1 9. The method of claim 7 wherein the plurality of cardioid sensors
2 comprises a foreground cardioid sensor and a background cardioid sensor and
3 wherein the step of evaluating comprises determining a scale factor for an output
4 signal of the background cardioid sensor.

Unchanged claim 10:

- 1 10. The method of claim 9 wherein the scale factor is determined based
2 on an output signal of the background cardioid sensor and the array output signal.

Amend claim 11:

- 1 11. (Amended) An apparatus for enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio of a
2 microphone array, the array including a plurality of microphones and having a
3 directivity pattern, the directivity pattern of the array being adjustable based on one
4 or more parameters, the apparatus comprising:

5 a. means for evaluating one or more parameters to realize an angular orientation
6 of a directivity pattern null, which angular orientation reduces microphone
7 array output signal level in accordance with a criterion, said evaluation
8 performed under a constraint that the null be precluded from being located
9 within a predetermined region of space which comprises a range of directions
10 about the array which range reflects a predetermined directional variability of
11 the desired acoustic energy with respect to the array;

12 b. means for modifying output signals of one or more microphones of the array
13 based on the one or more evaluated parameters; and

14 c. means for forming an array output signal based on one or more modified
15 output signals and zero or more unmodified microphone output signals.

Amend claim 12:

- 1 12. (Amended) The apparatus of claim 11 wherein a region of space
2 other than the predetermined region of space includes sources of undesired acoustic
3 energy.

Amend claim 13:

- 1 13. (Amended) The apparatus of claim 11 wherein undesired acoustic
2 energy impinges on the array from a direction within a region of space other than the
3 predetermined region of space.

Unchanged claim 14:

- 1 14. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the array has a plurality of
2 directivity patterns corresponding to a plurality of frequency subbands, one or more
3 of the plurality of directivity patterns including a null.

Unchanged claim 15:

- 1 15. The apparatus of claim 14 further comprising means for forming a
2 plurality of subband microphone output signals based on an output signal of a
3 microphone of the array, wherein the means for modifying output signals comprises
4 means for modifying the subband microphone output signals based on the one or
5 more evaluated parameters.

Unchanged claim 16:

- 1 16. The apparatus of claim 14 wherein the means for evaluating
2 comprises a polyphase filterbank.

Unchanged claim 17:

- 1 17. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the means for modifying
2 comprises a means for performing fast convolution.

Unchanged claim 18:

- 1 18. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the array comprises a plurality of
2 cardioid sensors.

Unchanged claim 19:

- 1 19. The apparatus of claim 18 wherein the plurality of cardioid sensors
2 comprises a foreground cardioid sensor and a background cardioid sensor and
3 wherein the means for evaluating comprises means for determining a parameter
4 reflecting a ratio of a (i) product of output signals of the foreground and background
5 cardioid sensors to (ii) the square of the output signal of the background cardioid
6 sensor.

Unchanged claim 20:

- 1 20. The apparatus of claim 18 wherein the plurality of cardioid sensors
2 comprises a foreground cardioid sensor and a background cardioid sensor and
3 wherein the means for evaluating comprises means for determining a scale factor for
4 an output signal of the background cardioid sensor.

Unchanged claim 21:

- 1 21. The apparatus of claim 18 wherein the scale factor is determined
2 based on an output signal of the background cardioid sensor and the array output
3 signal.

Unchanged claim 22:

- 1 22. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the array comprises a cardioid
2 sensor and a dipole sensor.

Unchanged claim 23:

- 1 23. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the array comprises a
2 omnidirectional sensor and a dipole sensor.

R e m a r k s

This amendment is submitted in response to an Office Action dated January 26, 1995. In the Action, the Examiner rejected each independent claim (1, 11) under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,802,227 to Elko *et al.* (the

A